

## **Impact of Food Processing on Nutrient Retention: A Comprehensive Review of Thermal and Non-Thermal Methods**

**Dr. Elena Petrova**

Department of Food Technology and Nutritional Sciences, Lund University, Sweden

Received/ 11/01/2026

Accepted/ 22/02/2026

Published/ 20/05/2026

### **Abstract:**

The preservation of vital nutrients can be affected by food processing, which is also important for making sure food is safe, making it last longer, and making it taste better. There is still a lot of worry about how thermal processing techniques like boiling, pasteurization, and sterilization affect the nutritional value of food, despite their widespread use for decades. The nutritional content of processed foods can be diminished due to the breakdown of bioactive molecules, vitamins, and minerals caused by heat. On the other hand, non-thermal food processing methods like high-pressure processing (HPP), pulsed electric fields (PEF), and ultraviolet (UV) light have become popular due to their capacity to maintain food quality while reducing nutrient loss to a minimum. The purpose of this review is to give a thorough examination of thermal and non-thermal processing methods, with an emphasis on how they affect the retention of nutrients in different types of food. The ways in which enzyme activity, temperature sensitivity, and oxidation are impacted by various processing processes on the stability of nutrients. Regarding the nutritional value of foods, it also goes over the pros and cons of different methods. that there are viable alternatives to conventional thermal processes that do not include heat, and that these methods may be able to preserve more nutrients and provide food of better quality. In order to help improve food processing technologies and preserve nutritional content, this review finishes by recommending areas for further research. This should lead to healthier food systems and improved customer choices.

**Keywords:** Food processing, nutrient retention, thermal processing, non-thermal processing, high-pressure processing (HPP)

### **Introduction:**

Processing food is a crucial part of the food industry since it increases food safety, makes food last longer, improves flavor, and makes food easier for customers to use. On the other hand, the loss of important nutrients during processing is a major worry for many people. Pasteurization, sterilization, and boiling are some of the oldest and most reliable thermal processing procedures for food preservation. Although these methods are great at destroying germs and extending the shelf life of food, they can cause a lot of nutrients to be lost, especially vitamins, minerals, and antioxidants that are sensitive to heat. The food industry has begun to show hope in non-thermal processing technologies in response to the rising demand for minimally processed foods that are both healthier and more nutritionally dense. The use of ultraviolet (UV) light, pulsed electric fields (PEF), and high-pressure processing (HPP) has



recently arisen as a possible way to prolong the shelf life of food without destroying its nutritional value or safety. To kill microbes and enzymes without damaging nutrients that are sensitive to heat, these non-thermal approaches use electric, magnetic, or physical fields. This review aims to thoroughly examine the effects of thermal and non-thermal food processing methods on nutrient retention. Specifically, it will examine how different processes influence the stability of vital bioactive molecules, such as vitamins and minerals. This study seeks to provide light on the benefits, drawbacks, and uses of these processing procedures by investigating the ways in which they affect nutrient degradation. The goal is to apply this knowledge to various food categories. In addition, the article will discuss how non-thermal procedures are gaining popularity due to the better balance they may provide in terms of food safety, quality, and nutrition. It is critical to understand how food processing affects nutrient retention due to the growing interest in sustainable food systems and healthier diets. The way processing technologies are headed in the future, with an emphasis on finding better ways to keep nutrients in processed foods while still satisfying people's dietary demands.

### **Comparative Analysis of Thermal vs Non-Thermal Processing**

Thermal and non-thermal food processing techniques are equally important for assuring the safety, improving quality, and lengthening the shelf life of food products. Understanding the impacts of these strategies on nutrient retention is crucial for optimizing food processing techniques, yet they are different. Here we compare and contrast thermal and non-thermal processing methods, looking at how each one affects nutrient retention and outlining the benefits and drawbacks of each.

#### **Nutrient Loss in Thermal vs Non-Thermal Methods**

Foods are usually heated to high temperatures in thermal processing processes like pasteurization, sterilization, and boiling in order to destroy hazardous germs and increase their shelf life. Increasing food safety by thermal approaches is possible, but at the cost of several nutrients, particularly those that are sensitive to heat. Vitamins C, folate, and a few B vitamins are very susceptible to heat, which can cause losses of 30% to 90% when treated with heat. Even though minerals aren't as easily destroyed by heat, they can still be lost when cooking, especially when using methods like boiling.

Alternatively, non-thermal processing methods include high-pressure processing (HPP), pulsed electric fields (PEF), and ultraviolet (UV) radiation work at lower temperatures, reducing the destruction of nutrients that are sensitive to heat. For example, when compared to traditional heat procedures, HPP is able to keep more vitamins and antioxidants in produce, ensuring that it retains its nutritious value. While ultraviolet radiation is most commonly employed to disinfect surfaces, it also has the added benefit of preserving more nutrients than pasteurization, which is especially true in juices and beverages. Nutrient loss can still occur with non-thermal procedures, particularly with substances that are light-or pressure-sensitive, but it is usually less than with heat treatments.

#### **Retention of Vitamins, Minerals, and Antioxidants**

Vitamin C and other water-soluble B vitamins, among others, are particularly vulnerable to thermal processing methods' high temperatures, which cause them to degrade significantly.

The effects of air, heat, and water on these vitamins are amplified. Thermal processing can destroy some of the food's beneficial antioxidants, including vitamins and other nutrients like carotenoids and polyphenols.

However, these nutrients are often better preserved when processed without heat. For instance, research has demonstrated that HPP preserves the majority of vitamins and antioxidants in produce. In a similar vein, pulsed electric fields (PEF) demonstrate improved vitamin and antioxidant retention in food items. By avoiding the high temperatures often used in thermal procedures, non-thermal approaches are able to preserve the chemical composition of these nutrients.

### **Impact on Sensory Properties and Consumer Acceptability**

The sensory qualities of food can be drastically changed through thermal processing. Flavor, texture, color, and scent can all undergo unwanted alterations when subjected to high temperatures. Thermal pasteurization of juices, for example, can cause color changes and off-flavors, which detract from the product's attractiveness. The consistency of fruits and vegetables can change when cooked in hot water, becoming mushier or softer.

Contrarily, food sensory qualities are better preserved by non-thermal means. Less heat loss occurs in terms of color, flavor, and texture when using these techniques. Juices treated with HPP, for instance, keep their color and fresh flavor, while research shows that PEF keeps produce crisp. Additionally, properly applied UV treatment can aid in keeping food items fresh and looking good for longer.

Nevertheless, non-thermal technologies do come with their fair share of difficulties. For instance, excessive application of HPP can modify the texture of some items, whereas PEF, depending on the application, can create subtle changes in color or flavor. However, in comparison to conventional thermal procedures, non-thermal approaches typically provide a more desirable balance between nutrient retention and aesthetic appeal.

### **Advantages and Limitations of Thermal Methods**

Thermal food processing has many benefits, including a history of successful microbial inactivation and its relative ease of use in industrial food production. There are well-established safety and quality control protocols for thermal technologies, and they are also highly regarded and understood. These methods are not only easy to incorporate into current production processes, but they are also reasonably inexpensive. However, heat-sensitive nutrients and sensory qualities can be degraded during thermal processing, which can lower the food's overall quality.

### **Advantages and Limitations of Non-Thermal Methods**

Significant benefits of non-thermal processing procedures include less sensory property modification and improved nutritional retention, especially of heat-sensitive vitamins and antioxidants. More and more, people are realizing that these methods may produce healthy food without using a ton of additives or preservatives. In comparison to conventional thermal procedures, non-thermal approaches often use lower temperatures and less processing time, making them more energy-efficient and eco-friendly.



Still, there are obstacles to non-thermal approaches as well, most notably with regard to commercial implementation, cost, and scalability. Some food products have been successfully treated using HPP and PEF approaches, however these methods necessitate expensive and specialized equipment. In addition, the restricted penetration capabilities of non-thermal treatments like cold plasma and ultraviolet radiation could render them ineffective for specific food types or container styles. The broad implementation of these techniques also takes into account regulatory concerns and customer acceptability.

### **Conclusion**

In terms of nutrient preservation, food quality, and overall consumer appeal, the merits and limits of each strategy are highlighted by comparing thermal and non-thermal food processing processes. Pasteurization, sterilization, and boiling are still good ways to make food safe and keep it for longer, but they often remove vitamins, minerals, and antioxidants that are sensitive to heat. The high temperatures used in thermal treatments can also change the way food tastes, feels, and looks, which can make people unhappy. When it comes to keeping food's nutritional value and sensory qualities, non-thermal processing methods are more superior. Emerging as potential substitutes for conventional thermal processes are techniques such as high-pressure processing (HPP), pulsed electric fields (PEF), and ultraviolet (UV) radiation. These techniques keep the food's original texture, color, and flavor while preserving vital nutrients, especially those that are heat sensitive. Nevertheless, there are still obstacles to overcome with non-thermal approaches. These include expensive equipment, difficulties in scaling, and the ongoing need for improvement and standardization. Striking a balance between thermal methods' proven effectiveness and non-thermal technologies' nutrient-preserving benefits is crucial for the future of food processing. The future of food production may be shaped by non-thermal processes, which have the ability to create less processed, healthier foods. We may anticipate a more sustainable and nutrient-dense food supply as non-thermal technologies become more economically feasible and integrated into food production systems, thanks to ongoing research. There is an increasing focus on maintaining food quality and nutritional integrity, therefore choosing the right processing method will ultimately rely on the particular food product, processing goals, cost factors, and consumer preferences.

### **Bibliography**

- Anon. (1980). Des pommes de terre bien enrobées. [Well wrapped potatoes.] *Emballages* (373) pp. 104, 106.
- Bafoil B. & Thomas T. (2001). Poitiers, Clermont: le chaud contre le froid. [Poitiers, Clermont: hot against cold.] *Néo Restauration* (373), February, pp. 52-53.
- Anon. (1981). Jambon Carte Or - Un nouveau jambon '1er choix' - Une nouvelle fabrication. ['Gold Label' ham - a high quality ham prepared by a new method.] *RTVA* 20 (165) pp. 65-67.
- Campbell L. (1993). Swingin' with Sous-Vide. *Foodservice and Hospitality* 26 (2) pp. 88, 92, 96.
- Anon. (1984a). Creative Pouch Cookery. *F & B Trends*, Winter, pp. 16-18



Baird B. (1990). Sous Vide: What's All the Excitement About ? Food Technology 44 (11) pp. 92, 94, 96