The Sankalpa: International Journal of Management Decisions
ISSN: 2454-7425

Volume 12, Issue 1 (January - June 2026)

A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF STARTUP INNOVATION SUSTAINABILITY MECHANISMS WITHIN AN OPERATIONAL, MANAGERIAL, AND LEGAL FRAMEWORK

Étude comparative des mécanismes de durabilité de l'innovation dans les startups : Un cadre opérationnel, managérial et juridique

Dr. Houria Ali Hadji [1], PhD nacera ali hadji [2], Dr.Khadidja Hadj Henni [3]

[1] Hassiba Ben Bouali University of Chlef (Algeria)، h.allihadhi@univ-chlef.dz.

[2] Mohamed Ben Ahmed University Oran 2 ,Child Rights Research Laboratory, Faculty of Law, (Algeria), alihadji.nacera@univ-oran2.dz

[3] Hassiba Ben Bouali University of Chlef (Algeria)، k.hadjhenni@univ-chlef.dz

تاريخ الاستلام: 2024/10/30 تاريخ القبول:30/ 2024/12 تاريخ النشر: ../../2025

Abstract

The sustainability of startup enterprises hinges upon their capacity to institutionalize innovation across operational, managerial, and legal dimensions. This research deconstructs the mechanisms through which emerging firms navigate high-uncertainty markets by synthesizing frameworks from production and supply chain management, business administration, and private law. Through comparative analysis of the Emirati mobility platform Careem and select Algerian entrepreneurial ventures, we demonstrate that innovation transcends technological development to encompass strategic resource bundling, agile supply chain operations, and robust intellectual property governance. Our findings reveal that startups failing to integrate these three dimensions face existential risks.

The study employs a descriptive-analytical methodology, examining how startups manage external resources across their lifecycle phases and how legal frameworks either facilitate or impede innovation diffusion. We conclude that sustainable innovation requires synchronized coordination of operational agility, ecosystem resource leverage, and intellectual property protection mechanisms

Keywords: Startup lifecycle; innovation management; intellectual property law; supply chain agility; resource bundling; Careem; emerging markets.

JEL Codes: M13 Entrepreneurship, O32 Management of Technological Change and R&D, K20 Private Law.

Résumé :

La durabilité des startups dépend fondamentalement de leur capacité à institutionnaliser l'innovation à travers des dimensions opérationnelles, managériales et juridiques. Cette recherche déconstruit les mécanismes par lesquels les entreprises émergentes évoluent dans des marchés à forte incertitude, en synthétisant des cadres issus de la gestion de la production et de la chaîne logistique, de l'administration des affaires et du droit privé. À travers une analyse comparative de la plateforme de mobilité émiratie Careem et d'une sélection d'entreprises entrepreneuriales algériennes, nous démontrons que l'innovation dépasse le

simple développement technologique pour englober le regroupement stratégique des ressources, l'agilité des opérations de la chaîne logistique et une gouvernance robuste de la propriété intellectuelle. Nos résultats révèlent que les startups qui ne parviennent pas à intégrer ces trois dimensions font face à des risques existentiels.

L'étude adopte une méthodologie descriptive-analytique, examinant comment les startups gèrent les ressources externes tout au long des phases de leur cycle de vie, et comment les cadres juridiques facilitent ou entravent la diffusion de l'innovation. Nous concluons que l'innovation durable exige une coordination synchronisée entre l'agilité opérationnelle, l'exploitation des ressources de l'écosystème et les mécanismes de protection de la propriété intellectuelle.

Mots-clés : Cycle de vie des startups ; gestion de l'innovation ; droit de la propriété intellectuelle ; agilité de la chaîne logistique ; regroupement des ressources ; Careem ; marchés émergents.

Codes JEL : M13 Entrepreneurship, O32 Management of Technological Change and R&D, K20 Private Law.

.1Introduction

.1.1Problem Statement and Research Motivation

The contemporary entrepreneurial ecosystem faces a paradox: while innovation is universally recognized as essential for competitive survival, the mechanisms through which startups institutionalize innovation remain poorly understood, particularly in emerging markets. The challenge extends beyond technological creativity to encompass operational efficiency, supply chain resilience, and legal protection of intellectual assets.

This fragmentation of understanding has resulted in startups operating with incomplete strategic blueprints, leading to high failure rates and suboptimal resource allocation.

The problem statement centers on a critical gap: how do startups—particularly those operating in resource-constrained environments—synthesize operational management, business strategy, and legal frameworks to create sustainable innovation ecosystems? The urgency of this question intensifies when considering that startups in emerging economies face compounded challenges: limited access to capital, underdeveloped regulatory frameworks, and intense competitive pressure from established corporations.

.1.2Research Questions and Hypotheses

The primary research questions guiding this investigation are:

1. How do startups integrate operations management, business administration, and legal frameworks to drive sustainable innovation?
2. What specific mechanisms does Careem deploy to incentivize innovation while simultaneously managing operational complexity and legal compliance?
3. How do Algerian startups navigate the innovation ecosystem, and what institutional barriers impede their scaling trajectories?
4. What is the relationship between intellectual property protection and startup valuation and survival rates?

To address these inquiries systematically, we formulate the following testable hypotheses:

H1: The systematic application of intellectual property protections transforms creative ideation from a sunk cost into a legally defensible corporate asset, thereby enhancing startup valuation and investor confidence.

H2: Agile supply chain management, characterized by flexible operational structures and rapid adaptation to market signals, directly correlates with heightened innovation output and reduced time-to-market for new offerings.

H3: The strategic bundling of external resources—encompassing market actors (investors, suppliers), non-market actors (research institutions, accelerators), and social resources (networks, mentorship)—dictates the success rate of scaling operations across startup lifecycle phases.

H4: Regulatory frameworks that explicitly recognize and protect startup intellectual property while reducing compliance burden accelerate innovation diffusion and startup survival rates.

.1.3Contribution and Significance

This research contributes to existing literature through three distinct dimensions. First, it provides a tri-dimensional analytical framework—merging production and supply chain management, business administration, and private law—offering a comprehensive blueprint for startup sustainability that transcends siloed disciplinary perspectives. Second, the empirical analysis of Careem and Algerian startups provides contextual evidence from the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region, addressing a significant gap in innovation management literature dominated by Western and East Asian case studies.

Third, the integration of intellectual property law into innovation management discourse elevates legal governance from a peripheral concern to a central strategic component.

2 .Literature Review and Theoretical Framework

.2.1The Startup Lifecycle: Phases, Challenges, and Resource Dynamics

Startups traverse a distinct lifecycle characterized by escalating complexity and resource demands. The pre-incubation phase, or ideation phase, involves high-risk activities aimed at validating the core business proposition. Founders engage in market research, prototype development, and business model experimentation [1, p. 6]. This phase is characterized by extreme resource scarcity and high failure probability, yet it establishes the foundational intellectual property that will define the firm's competitive advantage.

Transitioning into the incubation phase demands collaborative prototyping and the active pursuit of external support mechanisms. Research indicates that startups in this phase interact predominantly with non-market oriented actors—including accelerators, research institutions, and angel investors—to bundle innovation and social resources [6, p. 5]. The incubation phase represents a critical juncture where the business model undergoes iterative refinement based on stakeholder feedback and market signals [2, p. 371].

The establishment phase represents the critical juncture where market entry occurs, This phase demands rigorous supply chain logistics, human resource management, and

operational standardization to ensure scalability. The data reveals that as startups mature, they shift interactions toward market-oriented actors, necessitating a balanced integration of organizational capabilities [6, p. 8]. This transition is frequently accompanied by acquisition or merger activity, as established firms recognize the strategic value of startup innovation.

.2.2Financial and Operational Constraints in Startup Environments

Financial limitations frequently dictate operational strategies and innovation trajectories. The pursuit of venture capital requires compelling valuation models, robust financial projections, and comprehensive legal documentation to secure funding [3, pp. 37-49].

The structural composition of the founding team and subsequent hires dictates the firm's capacity to execute complex innovation processes. Research demonstrates that human capital constraints—particularly the scarcity of individuals with simultaneous expertise in technology, operations, and business development—represent a critical bottleneck in scaling operations [4].

Supply chain constraints present another significant challenge, Startups typically lack the established supplier networks and operational infrastructure of mature firms, forcing them to innovate in their logistics and procurement strategies, This constraint, while initially burdensome, frequently catalyzes operational innovation—as exemplified by Careem's development of proprietary mapping technologies to navigate complex urban environments lacking standardized addressing systems.

.2.3Innovation Management: Integrating Lean Startup, Effectuation, and Design Thinking

Innovation management within startups diverges fundamentally from traditional corporate models. Rather than following predetermined innovation pipelines, startups operate in environments of profound uncertainty, compelling them to continuously recalibrate their business models in response to stakeholder demands and market signals. Recent research identifies three complementary methodological approaches that, when integrated, create a robust innovation management framework [5, p. 22].

Lean Startup methodology emphasizes rapid prototyping, validated learning, and iterative product development. By minimizing capital expenditure and maximizing feedback loops, startups can test hypotheses with minimal resource commitment, thereby reducing the risk associated with product development [5, p. 23].

Effectuation theory posits that entrepreneurs operate with limited information and uncertain outcomes, necessitating a logic of control rather than prediction. Rather than optimizing toward predetermined goals, effectual entrepreneurs leverage available resources and contingent partnerships to create new markets [5, p. 24].

Design Thinking emphasizes empathetic understanding of user needs, rapid prototyping, and iterative refinement. This human-centered approach ensures that innovation addresses genuine market needs rather than technological possibilities [5, p. 25].

The integration of these three approaches creates a combinatorial model that enables startups to navigate uncertainty while maintaining operational discipline. Research on Moroccan startups demonstrates that firms successfully integrating these methodologies

progress through four distinct innovation phases: idealization, startup product design, product launch and testing, and business growth [5, p. 26].

.2.4Resource Management and the Innovation Ecosystem

Startups operate within broader innovation ecosystems comprising multiple actor types with distinct motivations and resource contributions. The lifecycle perspective reveals that startups' interactions with ecosystem actors evolve significantly across phases. During the creation phase, startups predominantly interact with non-market oriented actors (research institutions, accelerators, mentors), focusing on bundling innovation and social resources. During the development phase, interactions become more balanced, incorporating both market and non-market actors, with a focus on organizational resources in addition to innovation and social resources. Finally, during the market phase, interactions shift toward market-oriented actors (customers, suppliers, investors), while the focus returns to innovation and social resources [6, p. 5-8].

This dynamic resource bundling enables startups to develop what organizational scholars term "ambidextrous capabilities"—the simultaneous capacity to explore new technologies and markets while exploiting existing operational efficiencies. The ability to balance exploration and exploitation across lifecycle phases represents a critical success factor in startup scaling.

.2.5Intellectual Property Rights as Strategic Assets

A critical, yet frequently overlooked, component of startup innovation is the legal framework securing the firm's competitive advantage. Intellectual Property (IP) rights—encompassing patents, trademarks, copyrights, industrial designs, and trade secrets—function as the legal scaffolding that protects technological advancements, brand identity, and operational processes [7, p. 2].

Patents grant exclusive rights to novel inventions, preventing unauthorized manufacturing, use, or distribution for a specified period (typically 20 years). For tech-driven startups, patent protection is vital for establishing defensible market positions and attracting investor capital [8, online]. The patent system, while complex and costly, provides the strongest legal protection for technological innovations.

Trademarks establish brand equity and allow startups to differentiate their offerings in crowded markets. Consistent trademark usage reinforces brand recognition and fosters customer loyalty. The failure to secure trademark registrations exposes startups to brand dilution and customer confusion [7, p. 3].

Copyrights protect original works of authorship, including software code, written content, and creative designs. Automatic upon creation, copyrights provide baseline protection without formal registration, though registration strengthens enforcement capabilities [7, p. 4].

Industrial designs protect the visual features of products—including shape, configuration, and ornamentation—without requiring disclosure of underlying functionality.

This protection is particularly valuable for consumer-facing startups seeking to establish distinctive product aesthetics [7, p. 5].

Trade secrets protect confidential business information that provides competitive advantage. Unlike patents, trade secrets require no formal registration but demand rigorous confidentiality protocols. The loss of trade secret status through unauthorized disclosure can be catastrophic for startups [7, p. 6].

Integrating IP strategy into the early stages of business planning is not merely a legal formality but a strategic imperative. Startups that proactively manage their intellectual property rights secure defensible market positions, enhance their attractiveness to investors, and establish the legal foundation for long-term value creation.

.2.6Legal Frameworks and Regulatory Environments

The regulatory environment significantly influences startup innovation trajectories. Jurisdictions with explicit legal recognition of startups, streamlined IP registration processes, and regulatory sandboxes that permit experimentation create more favorable conditions for innovation diffusion. Conversely, regulatory frameworks that impose high compliance burdens or provide weak IP protection create friction that impedes scaling.

Recent legislative reforms in Algeria, including the introduction of legal frameworks explicitly defining and supporting startups, indicate a shift toward institutionalizing innovation. However, implementation challenges and inconsistent enforcement remain significant barriers. The contrast between the Emirati regulatory environment (which has actively cultivated startup ecosystems through legal reforms and institutional support) and the Algerian context (which is transitioning toward such frameworks) provides valuable comparative insights.

.3Methodology

.3.1Research Design and Approach

This study employs a descriptive-analytical methodology, synthesizing theoretical frameworks with empirical case analyses. The research design integrates qualitative and quantitative dimensions, examining both the mechanisms of innovation management and the contextual factors influencing startup trajectories.

.3.2Data Sources and Collection

Data collection drew from multiple sources:

- ✓ Academic databases: Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar were systematically searched for peer-reviewed publications on startup innovation management, intellectual property law, and supply chain management.
- ✓ Legal and regulatory documents: National and international legal frameworks governing intellectual property, startup recognition, and business formation were analyzed.
- ✓ Corporate reports and case studies: Public information regarding Careem's operational strategies, funding history, and market expansion was compiled from company disclosures and media reports.

- ✓ Institutional reports: Analyses of Algerian startup ecosystems were derived from reports by the Algerian Ministry of Startups, international development organizations, and startup incubators operating in the region.

.3.3Analytical Framework

The analysis proceeded through three integrated dimensions:

- **Operational dimension:** Examining how startups structure supply chains, manage resources, and implement agile methodologies to respond to market signals.
- **Administrative dimension:** Analyzing business model innovation, organizational design, and strategic resource bundling within innovation ecosystems.
- **Legal dimension:** Evaluating intellectual property protection mechanisms, regulatory compliance, and the role of legal frameworks in facilitating or impeding innovation.

.4Results: Empirical Case Studies and Findings

.4.1The Careem Model: Operational Innovation and Ecosystem Integration

Careem, founded in 2012 as a ride-hailing platform in the United Arab Emirates, exemplifies the successful integration of operational innovation, strategic scaling, and ecosystem leverage. The firm's trajectory from regional startup to acquisition by Uber in 2019 (for approximately $3.1 billion) provides valuable insights into innovation management in emerging markets.

.4.1.1Operational Agility and Supply Chain Innovation

Careem's core innovation extended beyond technological platform development to encompass supply chain and operational processes. The firm recognized that existing ride-hailing models developed in Western contexts were poorly adapted to MENA region realities. Consequently, Careem innovated its logistics network by developing proprietary mapping technologies to navigate complex urban environments lacking standardized addressing systems. This operational innovation—addressing a fundamental infrastructure gap—created a defensible competitive advantage.

Furthermore, Careem implemented flexible payment mechanisms accommodating regional preferences (cash payments, local payment systems) that global competitors initially overlooked. This supply chain flexibility enabled rapid market penetration across diverse regional contexts.

4.1.2. Resource Bundling and Ecosystem Integration:

Careem strategically bundled resources from multiple ecosystem actors. The firm secured venture capital from regional and international investors, established partnerships with local financial institutions, and engaged with regulatory authorities to navigate evolving legal frameworks. This balanced integration of market and non-market resources enabled Careem to scale operations while maintaining operational legitimacy.

The firm's acquisition of regional competitors and complementary service providers (including Uber's Middle East operations) exemplified the strategic bundling approach. Rather than competing solely on technological grounds, Careem leveraged ecosystem partnerships to expand its service portfolio beyond ride-hailing into digital payments, delivery services, and financial services.

.4.1.3Intellectual Property and Brand Strategy:

Careem invested significantly in trademark registration and brand development across the MENA region. The distinctive brand identity—including the recognizable green and white color scheme and the "Careem" name—became a valuable asset that enhanced customer recognition and loyalty. The firm's acquisition by Uber, while resulting in operational integration, preserved the Careem brand in certain markets, reflecting its accumulated brand equity.

.4.2Algerian Startups: Navigating Institutional Constraints and Emerging Opportunities Contrasting with the Careem model, Algerian startups operate within a developing innovation ecosystem characterized by both significant constraints and emerging opportunities.

.4.2.1Institutional Barriers and Resource Constraints :

Algerian startups face compounded challenges relative to their Emirati counterparts. Limited access to venture capital, underdeveloped accelerator networks, and regulatory uncertainty impede rapid scaling. The financial sector's limited experience with startup financing creates information asymmetries that disadvantage emerging firms seeking capital.

Furthermore, the regulatory environment, while improving, remains less developed than in more mature startup ecosystems. Intellectual property registration processes, while available, lack the institutional support and enforcement mechanisms present in advanced economies. This creates uncertainty regarding the protection of startup innovations.

.4.2.2Emerging Institutional Support and Legal Reforms

Recent legislative reforms signal a shift toward institutionalizing innovation support. The Algerian government has introduced legal frameworks explicitly recognizing startups as distinct business entities, providing tax incentives and regulatory exemptions. These reforms, while nascent, indicate governmental commitment to fostering entrepreneurship.

Algerian startups are increasingly adopting Lean Startup methodologies to validate products with minimal capital expenditure, effectively compensating for limited access to venture capital. This approach has proven particularly effective in software and digital services sectors, where capital requirements are lower than in hardware-intensive industries.

.4.2.3Supply Chain and Operational Challenges

Algerian startups frequently encounter supply chain constraints related to import regulations, logistics infrastructure, and supplier reliability. These operational challenges necessitate innovation in procurement and distribution strategies. Successful Algerian startups have developed localized supply chains and established partnerships with regional suppliers, reducing dependence on international logistics networks.

5. **Discussion:**

Integrating Operational, Administrative, and Legal Dimensions

.5.1The Operational Imperative: Supply Chain Agility and Resource Efficiency Our findings demonstrate that innovation in startups is fundamentally a composite function of operational efficiency, strategic management, and legal protection.

The Careem case study validates the hypothesis that agile supply chain management and the strategic bundling of ecosystem resources are critical drivers of scalable innovation [6, p. 8]. Startups that rapidly adapt their supply chains to local market conditions—as Careem did through proprietary mapping technologies and flexible payment systems—establish defensible competitive advantages that global competitors cannot easily replicate.

The operational dimension extends beyond logistics to encompass human resource management and organizational design. Startups that maintain flexible organizational structures, with decision-making authority distributed to operational teams, demonstrate higher innovation output than those with rigid hierarchical structures. This aligns with research on entrepreneurial leadership, which demonstrates that flexible, supportive leadership styles correlate with heightened employee innovation behavior [9, p. 2].

.5.2The Administrative Dimension: Business Model Innovation and Ecosystem Leverage , The administrative dimension encompasses business model innovation and the strategic leverage of ecosystem resources. Our analysis reveals that startups' interactions with ecosystem actors evolve significantly across lifecycle phases. During early phases, startups benefit from non-market actors (accelerators, research institutions) that provide mentorship, validation, and access to knowledge resources. As firms mature, they increasingly engage with market-oriented actors (investors, customers, suppliers) while maintaining relationships with non-market actors for continued innovation support.

This dynamic resource bundling enables startups to develop ambidextrous capabilities—simultaneously exploring new technologies and markets while exploiting existing operational efficiencies. The ability to balance exploration and exploitation across lifecycle phases represents a critical success factor in startup scaling.

.5.3The Legal Dimension: Intellectual Property Protection and Regulatory Frameworks ,The legal dimension emerges as a fundamental differentiator in startup trajectories. Startups that proactively manage their intellectual property rights secure defensible market positions and enhance their attractiveness to investors, The integration of IP strategy into early-stage business planning—rather than as an afterthought—establishes the legal foundation for long-term value creation.

Conversely the Algerian models highlight the friction that occurs when the legal and regulatory environment lags behind entrepreneurial activity. Weak IP enforcement, uncertain regulatory frameworks, and limited institutional support for startup legal compliance create barriers to scaling. The integration of private law principles—specifically regarding contracts, liability, intellectual property, and business formation—is essential for stabilizing the operational environment of emerging firms

.5.4Comparative Analysis: Careem versus Algerian Startups

The comparative analysis reveals significant differences in the institutional environments supporting innovation. Careem operated within a regulatory context that, while still developing, provided explicit recognition of startup enterprises and relatively streamlined business formation processes. The Emirati government's active cultivation of startup

ecosystems through institutional support (accelerators, investment funds) and legal reforms created favorable conditions for scaling.

Algerian startups, conversely, have historically operated within regulatory frameworks not explicitly designed for startup enterprises. Recent reforms address this gap, but implementation challenges and institutional capacity constraints remain. Successful Algerian startups have compensated through creative adaptation—utilizing Lean Startup methodologies to minimize capital requirements and establishing localized supply chains to overcome logistics constraints.

.5.5Limitations and Caveats

This study faces several limitations that should be acknowledged. First, reliance on secondary data and publicly available information limits the depth of operational insights regarding proprietary strategies. Second, the rapidly evolving nature of regulatory frameworks means that analyses of legal environments may become outdated. Third, the case study approach, while providing rich contextual understanding, limits generalizability to other startup contexts.

.6Conclusion

.6.1Findings

This research establishes that sustainable innovation within startups requires a holistic architecture that transcends mere technological development. The study demonstrates that startup viability is predicated on the seamless integration of three critical dimensions:

- Operational agility: Supply chain flexibility, rapid adaptation to market signals, and efficient resource utilization.
- Administrative sophistication: Business model innovation, strategic resource bundling within innovation ecosystems, and balanced engagement with market and non-market actors.
- Legal governance: Proactive intellectual property protection, compliance with regulatory frameworks, and integration of private law principles into business strategy.

The Careem case study validates the hypothesis that startups successfully integrating these three dimensions achieve rapid scaling and substantial valuations. Conversely, Algerian startups operating in less developed institutional environments face friction in scaling, yet demonstrate resilience through creative adaptation and localized innovation strategies.

.6.2Recommendations

For policymakers seeking to foster startup ecosystems, several recommendations emerge from this analysis:

- ✓ Streamline intellectual property registration: Reduce the time and cost associated with patent, trademark, and design registration to enable startups to protect innovations cost-effectively.
- ✓ Establish explicit legal recognition of startups: Create legal frameworks that explicitly define startups as distinct business entities, providing regulatory exemptions and tax incentives to reduce compliance burden.

- ✓ Develop institutional support infrastructure: Establish accelerators, incubators, and innovation hubs that provide mentorship, validation, and access to knowledge resources.
- ✓ Strengthen IP enforcement mechanisms: Invest in institutional capacity to enforce intellectual property rights, providing startups with confidence in legal protections.
- ✓ Facilitate ecosystem coordination: Create forums and mechanisms enabling startups to connect with investors, suppliers, research institutions, and other ecosystem actors.

.6.3Implications for Startup Founders and Managers

For startup founders and managers, several strategic implications emerge:

- ✓ Integrate IP strategy from inception: Develop comprehensive intellectual property strategies during business planning phases, not as afterthoughts.
- ✓ Prioritize operational agility: Design supply chains and organizational structures that enable rapid adaptation to market signals and customer feedback.
- ✓ Strategically leverage ecosystem resources: Identify and cultivate relationships with both market and non-market actors that can provide resources, validation, and support.
- ✓ Balance exploration and exploitation: Develop organizational capabilities that enable simultaneous exploration of new technologies and markets while efficiently exploiting existing operational capabilities.

.7Future Research Directions

Future research should prioritize several areas:

- ✓ Longitudinal studies: Track the specific impact of IP registration, regulatory compliance, and ecosystem engagement on startup valuation and survival rates across multiple jurisdictions.
- ✓ Sector-specific analyses: Examine how innovation management strategies vary across sectors (software, hardware, biotech, fintech) and how sector-specific regulatory frameworks influence startup trajectories.
- ✓ Emerging market focus: Expand research on startup ecosystems in emerging markets, addressing the specific institutional constraints and opportunities present in these contexts.
- ✓ Quantitative analysis: Develop econometric models examining the relationship between IP protection, supply chain agility, and startup performance metrics.

References

[1]Salamzadeh, A. (2015). Startup companies: Life cycle and challenges. Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Employment, Education and Entrepreneurship, 6-8.

[2]Manchanda, K. (2014). The role of incubators in startup success. Journal of Business Management, 371.

[3]Colombo, M. G. (2008). Venture capital and high-tech startups. Venture Capital, 37-49.

[4]Salamzadeh, A. (2014). Human resources in startups. Entrepreneurship Research.

[5]Ousghir, S., & Daoud, M. (2022). Exploratory study on innovation management in startups, an attempt to design it through the business model. Eastern-European Journal of Enterprise Technologies, 1(13), 20-26. https://doi.org/10.15587/1729-4061.2022.251845

[6]Marcon, A., & Ribeiro, J. L. D. (2021). How do startups manage external resources in innovation ecosystems? A resource perspective of startups' lifecycle. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 171, 120965. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2021.120965

[7]McDonald, M., Reid, M., Lin, J., & Singh, S. (2024). Intellectual property rights for tech startups. DLA Piper Insights. Retrieved from https://www.dlapiper.com/en-pt/insights/publications/2024/10/intellectual-property-rights-for-tech-startups

[8]Rajamäki, L. (2013). Startup IPR strategies: Why should startup companies protect their intellectual property? University of Turku. Retrieved from https://www.utupub.fi/items/cd9827e2-13c1-4700-88e3-227f98f1e787

[9]Akbari, M., Bagheri, A., Imani, S. (2021). Does entrepreneurial leadership encourage innovation work behavior? The mediating role of creative self-efficacy and support for innovation. International Journal of Innovation Management, 24(1), 1-25. https://doi.org/10.1142/S1363919620500358

Appendix: Key Concepts and Definitions

- **Startup**: A newly established business venture characterized by high uncertainty, rapid growth potential, and innovative business models or technologies.
- **Innovation Management:** The systematic process of identifying, developing, and implementing new ideas, products, services, or processes that create value for stakeholders.
- **Intellectual Property Rights:** Legal protections granted to creators and inventors, including patents, trademarks, copyrights, industrial designs, and trade secrets.
- **Supply Chain Agility:** The capacity of supply chain systems to rapidly adapt to changing market conditions, customer demands, and operational constraints.
- **Innovation Ecosystem**: The network of actors (entrepreneurs, investors, research institutions, accelerators, suppliers) that collectively support the creation and commercialization of innovations.
- **Ambidextrous Capabilities:** Organizational capacity to simultaneously pursue exploration of new technologies and markets while efficiently exploiting existing operational capabilities.